# An Assessment of Changing Incidence of Maxillofacial Fractures: A Retrospective Study

AUTHORS: UDUPIKRISHNA JOSHI<sup>1</sup>. SATISHKUMAR PATIL<sup>2</sup>. KUNDAN SHAH<sup>3</sup>. NITIN THAKUR<sup>4</sup>. SOUMYA ALLURKAR<sup>5</sup>

# **ABSTRACT**

Purpose: Maxillofacial injuries constitute an integral part of polytrauma, the incidence, cause and management of which may vary from region to region. The aim of the study is to assess the etiology, type, management of maxillofacial fractures along with a special emphasis on the changing trend in the incidence of midface fractures.

Patients and method: A retrospective review done at our institution evaluated 1047 patients treated for maxillofacial fractures in last 10 years. Descriptive analysis of data was done through SPSS-16.

Results: of all the facial fractures managed at our institution, during a period of 2005-12, mandibular fractures accounted for 52%, followed by mid-face fractures which was 38% and both fractures were 10%. Contrary to the previous findings, incidence

of mid-face fractures increased significantly over the last 2 years (2013-14), accounting for 51% of the cases, followed by mandibular fractures which was 42% and both fractures were 7%. Road traffic accidents attributed for 92% of the cases. Surgical intervention was required in 66% of mid-face fractures and 81% of the mandibular fractures. Postoperative complications were present in 3% of the cases.

Conclusion: According to this study, the most significant observation is the drastic change in the pattern of facial fractures with the incidence of mid-face fractures increasing over a period of 2 years. This observation is in contrast to several studies which state mandibular fractures as the most common fractures of maxillofacial region. Based on the findings herein, a forth coming change in the pattern of maxillofacial fractures is suggested and it varies from region to region.

# Keyword: Retrospective study, Polytrauma, Mid-face fractures, Incidence.

## INTRODUCTION

Fracture of the mandible is common in patients who sustain facial trauma as the mandible is one of the common targets in

# Introduction

In today's era, with the increase in the urbanization, Road Traffic Accidents (RTAs) have become a common emergency situation in hospitals. Maxillofacial injuries constitute an integral part of polytrauma, which requires special attention for its repair and reconstruction as these facial injuries also cause psychological traumato the individual victim. Other than RTAs, maxillofacial trauma may also be caused by assaults, sports and fall from height.

A plethora of epidemiological studies have been published in literature regarding the incidence, etiology and management of maxillofacial fractures, however these studies are greatly dependent on local socioeconomic and demographic factors.

The present study intends to delve into the incidence, etiology and management of maxillofacial fractures at our institution with a special emphasis on the changing trend in the incidence of midface fractures.

# Patients and methods:

This study involves the patients who sustained maxillofacial fractures and were treated at the department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, HKES's S.Nijalingappa Dental College, Gulbarga. It spans 10 year period from January 1, 2005 till December 31st, 2014. A number of parameters including age, sex, cause of injury, pattern and treatment modalities along with the post operative complications were recorded and evaluated. All maxillofacial injuries were assessed and treated by the same group

of oral and maxillofacial surgeons. Of note, in these patients other concomitant bodily injuries were treated by pertinent consulting specialists. The descriptive analysis of this data obtained was done through SPSS-16.

# Results:

This study involved 1047 patients who sustained maxillofacial fractures and was as noted before, were treated in our institution from 2005-2014 (Table 1).

Table 1 : Distribution of case year wise

| Year | Number of cases | Percentage of cases |  |  |
|------|-----------------|---------------------|--|--|
| 2005 | 98              | 9.36%               |  |  |
| 2006 | 107             | 10.21%              |  |  |
| 2007 | 92              | 8.78%               |  |  |
| 2008 | 114             | 10.88%              |  |  |
| 2009 | 118             | 11.27%              |  |  |
| 2010 | 86              | 8.21%               |  |  |
| 2011 | 94              | 8.97%               |  |  |
| 2012 | 102             | 9.74%               |  |  |
| 2013 | 117             | 11.11%              |  |  |
| 2014 | 119             | 11.36%              |  |  |

There was a male preponderance with male to female ratio being 7:1 (Table 2).

| Gender | Number of cases | Percentage of cases |
|--------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Male   | 911             | 87.02%              |
| Female | 136             | 12.98%              |
| Total  | 1047            | 100%                |

Predictably the most susceptible age group in the study ranged from 21-30 years (Table 3).

Table 3

Distribution of case age wise

| Age group | Number of | Percentage of |  |  |
|-----------|-----------|---------------|--|--|
| (years)   | cases     | cases         |  |  |
| 0-10      | 21        | 2.05%         |  |  |
| 11-20     | 252       | 24.06%        |  |  |
| 21-30     | 294       | 28.08%        |  |  |
| 31-40     | 231       | 22.06%        |  |  |
| 41-50     | 144       | 13.75%        |  |  |
| 51-60     | 61        | 5.82%         |  |  |
| >60       | 44        | 4.20%         |  |  |

In the period between 2013-14, there was a drastic change in the incidence of patterns of maxillofacial fractures, with increased incidence in midface fractures compared to the mandibular fractures.(Table 4).

Table 4

Distribution of cases according to incidence and pattern

| Year No. of cases 2005-14 1047 | Mid-Face<br>fractures |                     | Mandible fractures |                     | Fractures<br>involving both<br>midface and mandible |                        |        |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---|------------------------|--------|
|                                | No. of cases          | Percentage of cases | No. of cases       | Percentage of cases | No. of cases  | Percentage<br>of cases |        |
| 2005                           | 98                    | 36                  | 36.73%             | 53                  | 54.08%  | 09                     | 9.18%  |
| 2006                           | 107                   | 34                  | 31.77%             | 62                  | 57.94%  | 11                     | 10.28% |
| 2007                           | 92                    | 32                  | 34.78%             | 53                  | 57.60%  | 07                     | 7.60%  |
| 2008                           | 114                   | 42                  | 36.84%             | 64                  | 56.14%  | 08                     | 7.01%  |
| 2009                           | 118                   | 49                  | 41.52%             | 55                  | 46.66%  | 14                     | 11.86% |
| 2010                           | 86                    | 36                  | 41.86%             | 43                  | 50.00%  | 07                     | 8.13%  |
| 2011                           | 94                    | 42                  | 44.68%             | 40                  | 42.55%  | 12                     | 12.76% |
| 2012                           | 102                   | 37                  | 36.27%             | 52                  | 50.98%  | 13                     | 12.74% |
| Total                          | 811                   | 308                 | 38.05%             | 422                 | 51.99%  | 81                     | 9.94%  |
| 2013                           | 117                   | 59                  | 50.42%             | 48                  | 41.02%  | 10                     | 8.54%  |
| 2014                           | 119                   | 62                  | 52.10%             | 51                  | 42.85%  | 06                     | 5.04%  |
| Total                          | 236                   | 121                 | 51.26%             | 99                  | 41.93%  | 16                     | 6.79%  |

The road traffic accidents accounted for the maximum number of maxillofacial fracture cases, where motorcycle accident was followed by automobiles, bicycle and pedestrial hit. The second most common etiology was assault followed by sports injury and fall from height (Table 5).

Table 5

Etiology of fractures of facial skeleton

| Sl No. | Etiology              | No. of cases | Percentage of cases |  |
|--------|-----------------------|--------------|---------------------|--|
| 1      | Road traffic accident | 963          | 91.97%              |  |
| (i)    | Motorcycle            | 689          | 65.80%              |  |
| (ii)   | Automobiles           | 194          | 18.52%              |  |
| (iii)  | Bicycle               | 36           | 3.43%               |  |
| (iv)   | Pedestrian hit        | 44           | 4.20%               |  |
| 2      | Assaults              | 51           | 4.87%               |  |
| 3      | Sports injury         | 13           | 1.24%               |  |
| 4      | Fall from height      | 09           | 0.85%               |  |
| 5      | Others                | 11           | 1.05%               |  |

Lacerations and abrasions were the most frequently encountered concomitant injuries rating at about approximately 79.5% of the total cases. Other concomitant injuries included fractures elsewhere and brain injury, accounting for 24.3% and 11% of the cases respectively.

In our study, most of the cases underwent open reduction and internal fixation as compared to closed reduction (Table 6).

#### Table 6

Distribution showing treatment modalities

| Fracture site                                       | Year    | No. of cases | Surgical treatment |                     | Non surgical or conservative treatment |                     |
|---|---------|--------------|--------------------|---------------------|--|---------------------|
|   | 2005-14 |              | No. of cases       | Percentage of cases | No. of cases                           | Percentage of cases |
| Mid-face fractures                                  | 2005-12 | 308          | 197                | 63.96%              | 111                                    | 36.03%              |
|   | 2013-14 | 121          | 83                 | 68.59%              | 38                                     | 31.40%              |
|   | Total   | 429          | 280                | 66.27%              | 149                                    | 33.71%              |
| Mandible fractures                                  | 2005-12 | 422          | 346                | 81.99%              | 76                                     | 18.00%              |
|   | 2013-14 | 99           | 79                 | 79.79%              | 20                                     | 20.20%              |
|   | Total   | 521          | 425                | 80.99%              | 96                                     | 19.10%              |
| Fractures involving<br>both midface and<br>mandible | 2005-12 | 81           | 78                 | 96.29%              | 03                                     | 3.70%               |
|   | 2013-14 | 16           | 16                 | 100%                | 00                                     | 0.00%               |
|   | Total   | 97           | 94                 | 98.14%              | 03                                     | 1.86%               |

www.bujod.in Joshi et al

This reflects the current trend towards open reduction and internal fixation. Post operative complications requiring further interventions were present in 3% of the cases.

# Discussion:

The last two decades has seen a drastic change in the lifestyle of man, bringing along with it an increase in the incidence of RTAs due to high speed vehicle collisions. Hence, maxillofacial injuries is commonly seen in such cases as face is the most exposed and vulnerable area of the body.

This study is designed to evaluate the incidence of maxillofacial injuries due to various etiologies and to assess any changing trend in the pattern of fractures through the years. During the period of 2013-2014, the incidence of midface fractures has increased as compared to the period between 2005-2012 when mandibular fractures predominated.

According to the British authors, the continued increase in the middle 3rd fracturesis due to the rise in the number of zygomatic fractures [24]. The German authors explained the rise in the number of midfacial fractures by the increasing amount of "Road Traffic Accident" [24]. The publications from Aberdeen (GB)[1], Florescence (Italy)[2] and Lund(Sweden)[3] mention even higher percentages for middle 3rd fracture citing 60%, 60.7% and 66% respectively when compared to our study in which the incidence was 51.26%.

Midface fractures were the commonest facial fractures in later years of our study which was in contrast to the finding of previous studies where mandibular fractures were the most common fractures in the maxillofacial skeleton (Ahmed et al 2004[4]; Ansari 2004[5] Down et al 1995 [6], Erol et al 2004[7]; Haug et al 1990[8]; Torgersen and Tornes 1992[9]). This can be attributed to the less availability of CT scans in the early years of our study, due to which a few fractures would have gone undiagnosed.

Following midface fractures, mandibular fractures were the next most common seen in our study. This finding was not in concordancewith previous study reported in the literature. (Mourouzis and Koumoura 2005[10]; Thomas and Hill 2000[11]) where mandibular fractures were the commonest facial fractures followed by the midface fractures.

This study revealed that the peak incidence of fractures occurred in the 21-30 years age group. These findings are similar to those from other studies which indicate that young individuals suffer more from trauma (Adekeye 1980a,b, [12,13]; Ahmed et al 2004[5]; Moshy et al 1996[14]. Oji 1995[15]; Liet et al 2010[16]).

As would be expected, there was a male predominance with 87.02% of the cases being men and 12.98% being women for a ratio of 7:1. This can be explained because the majority of such casualties result from RTAs, falls, assaults, where men are more often involved (Adekeye 2004a,b [12,13]; Ahmed et al 2004[4]; Ansari 2004[5]; Haug et al 1990[8]; Hachl et al 2002[17]; latron et al 2010[18]; Lu et al 2010[16]).

In our study 81.80% of patients were treated with the open method and 18.20% with the closed method. This is in contrast with previous studies (Ahmed et al 2004[4]; Ansari 2004[5]; Erol et al2004[7]) in which closed reduction was more frequently used.

Maxillofacial injuries of all severity levels can be reduced by 50%

with use of restraints. Preventive measures such as obligatory wearing of a crash helmet and seat belts and strict enforcement of laws against "Drinking and Driving". If these measures are taken then there will be significant reduction in the number of Road Traffic accidents. (Holmes et al 2004[20]; Vanbeak and Merkx 1999[21]).

# Conclusion:

In this retrospective study of 1047 cases at our institution between 2005-2014, the most significant observation is the drastic change in the pattern of facial fractures with the increase in the incidence of midface fractures over a period of the last 2 years. This observation is in contrast to several studies which have found mandibular fractures as to be the most common fractures of maxillofacial region. The most common cause being RTAs followed by assaults. Most fractures occurred in the age of 21-30 years. Open reduction and internal fixation was the most commonly employed method for the treatment of such fractures at our institution. Based on the findings herein a forth coming change in the pattern of maxillofacial fractures is suggested and it may vary from region to region. The data in the study has made it feasible to attain the main tenets of the research; yet the retrospective nature of the study limits its accuracy and applicability, hence a further prospective study is recommended.

## Refrences

- 1. Brook IM, Wood N. Aetiology and incidence of facial fractures in adults. Int J Oral Surg 1983: 12: 293–298.
- Panzoni E, Branchi R, PiccioliA.Considerazionietiologichesu 2060 casi di fratture del massicciofacciale. RivItalStomatol 1983: 52: 907–11.
- Afzelius LE, Rosen C. Facial fractures. A review of 368 cases. Int J Oral Surg 1980: 9: 25–32.
- Ahmed HE, Jaber MA, Abu Fanas SH, Karas M: The pattern of maxillofacial fractures in Sharjah, United Arab Emirates: A review of 230 cases. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral RadiolEndod 98: 166-170, 2004
- 5. Ansari MH: Maxillofacial fractures in Hamedan province, Iran: a retrospective study. (1987-2001). J CraniomaxillofacSurg 32: 28-34, 2004
- Down KE, Boot DA, Gorman DF: Maxillofacial and associated injuries in severely traumatized patients: implications of a regional survey. Int J Oral MaxillofacSurg 24: 409-412, 1995
- Erol B, Tanrikulu R, Gorgun B: Maxillofacial fractures, analysis of demographic distribution and treatment in 2901 patients (25year experience). J CraniomaxillofacSurg 32: 308-313, 2004
- Haug RH, Prather J, Indresano AT: An epidemiological survey of facial fractures and concomitant injuries. J Oral MaxillofacSurg 48: 926-932, 1990
- Torgersen S, Tornes K: Maxillofacial fractures in Norwegian district. Int J Oral MaxillofacSurg 21: 335-338, 1992
- Mourouzis C, Koumoura F: Sports related maxillofacial fractures: a retrospective study of 125 patients. Int J Oral MaxillofacSurg 34: 635-638, 2005
- Thomas DW, Hill CM: Etiology and changing patterns of maxillofacial trauma. In: Booth PW, Schendel SA, Hausamen JE (eds) Maxillofacial surgery, vol. 1. Churchill Livingstone, 3, 2000

www.bujod.in Joshi et al

- Adekeye EO: Paediatrics fractures of facial skeleton: a survey of 85 cases from Kaduna, Nigeria. J Oral Surg 38: 355-358, 1980 a
- Adekeye EO: The pattern of fracture of facial skeleton in Kaduna, Nigeria. A survey of 1, 447 cases. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 49(6): 491-495, 1980 b
- Moshy J, Mosha HJ, Lema PA: Prevalence of maxillomandibular fractures in mainland Tanzania. East Afr Med J 73(3): 172-175, 1996
- 15. Oji C: Jaw fractures in Enugu, Nigeria, 1985-95. Br J Oral MaxillofacSurg 37(2): 106-109, 1999
- Li R, Wang H, Guo L, Tang W, Long J, Liu L, et al: Analysis of maxillofacial fracture victimsa in the Wenchuan earthquake and Yushu earthquake. Dent Traumatol 26: 454-458, 2010
- 17. Hächl O, Tuli T, Schwabegger A, Gassner R: Maxillofacial trauma due to work related accidents. Int J Oral MaxillofacSurg 31:90-93, 2002
- latrou I, Theologie-Lygidakis N, Tzerbos F: Surgical protocols and outcome for the treatment of maxillofacial fractures in children: 9 years' experience. Journal of CraniomaxillofacSurg 38(7): 511-516, 2010

- Gomes PP, Passeri LA, Barbosa JR: A 5-year retrospective study of zygomaticoorbital complex and zygomatic arch fractures in Sao Paulo State, Brazil. J Oral MaxillofacSurg 64(1): 63-67, 2006
- Holmes PJ, Koehler J, McGwin G, Rue LW: Frequency of maxillofacial injuries in allterrain vehicle collisions. J Oral MaxillofacSurg 62: 697-701, 2004
- Van beek GJ, Merkx CA: Changes in the pattern of fractures of the maxillofacial skeleton. Int J Oral MaxillofacSurg 28: 424-428, 1999
- 22. Boole JR, Holtel M, Amoroso P, Yore M: 5196 mandible fractures among 4381 active duty army soldiers, 1980 to 1998. Laryngoscope 111(10): 1691-1696, 2001
- 23. Dimitroulis G, Eyre J: A 7-year review of maxillofacial trauma in a central London hospital. Br Dent J 170(8): 300-302, 1991
- G.J. van Beek: Changes in the pattern of fractures of Maxillofacial skeleton. Int J. Oral Maxillofac Surgery 28: 424 – 428; 1999

## PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

- 1) Prof and HOD, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Hkes S.N Institute of Dental Sciences and Research, Gulbarga, Karnataka, India
- 2) Professor, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Hkes S.N Institute of Dental Sciences and Research, Gulbarga, Karnataka, India
- 3) P.G Student, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Hkes S.N Institute of Dental Sciences and Research, Gulbarga, Karnataka, India
- 4) Reader, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Hkes S.N Institute of Dental Sciences and Research, Gulbarga, Karnataka, India
- 5) Sr Lecturer, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Hkes S.N Institute of Dental Sciences and Research, Gulbarga, Karnataka, India

## ADDRESS FOR CORRESSPONDENCE:

Dr. Udupikrishna M. Joshi
Prof and HOD, Room No. 2,
Department Of Oral And Maxillofacial Surgery
Hkes S.n Institute Of Dental Sciences And Research
Sedam Road, Near Kharge Petrol Pump, Kalaburagi – 585105.
E-mail: dr\_udupijoshi@yahoo.com Ph.: +91 9448830768

Source of Support : NIL Conflict of Interest : NOT DECLARED Date of Submission : 10-01-2015 Review Completed : 10-04-2015